



ch

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/937,849	11/15/2001	Atsushi Ninomiya	520.40710X00	7110	
20457	7590 10/17/2003		EXAMINER		
	LI, TERRY, STOUT & 1	SMITH, RUTH S			
	1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800			PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22209-9889		3737		
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003	, 1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		_	NK				
100		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/937,849	NINOMIYA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ruth S Smith	3737					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO THE N - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	PRIENT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLANALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sick (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute uply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing displayment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, ma ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No., cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this co e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	February 2002 .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-8 and 11</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	○ Claim(s) <u>2,3,9 and 10</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 November 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received i	n Application No					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Base the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No e of Informal Patent Application (PT :					

Application/Control Number: 09/937,849

Art Unit: 3737

Drawings

Figures 11a,b,12 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 9,10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,4,5,7,8,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Jones. The claims are directly readable on Jones which shows a center coil portion 16 and two side coil portions 12,14. Gap 52 provides an indication as to the center of the center coil.

Claims 7,8,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hagen et al. The claims are directly readable on Hagen et al, particularly in view of figures 12,13 which show base coil portion 6B attached to the base 24, side coil portions 4A,B and center coil portion 6A which lies between the side coil portions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3737

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones. Jones shows a center coil portion 16 and two side coil portions 12,14. Gap 52 provides an indication as to the center of the center coil. In the absence of any showing of criticality, the means used to provide an indication of the center of the center coil would have been an obvious design choice of known functional equivalents in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2,3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth S Smith whose telephone number is (703) 308-3063. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM- 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dennis Ruhl can be reached on (703) 308-2262. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Ruth S Smith Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3737